

GREEN CLIMATE FUND

How GCF can unlock transformation and decarbonizing paradigm shift in urban areas? leveraging climate and blended finance

Dr Amgad Elmahdi Water Sector Lead

Aelmahdi@gcfund.org

Chair of Water Security Bureau-International Water Resources Association-IWRA

International Forum on Low Carbon Cities- 2022



Why we need Finance

The Climate crisis: Where we are



What needs to be done

Challenges to transformative urban adaptation and resilience



GREEN CLIMATE FUND



Notes: This graph is for illustrative purposes only, showing 2050 trajectories for select indicators and what's needed to reach relevant global goals. **Urban GDP** is for the world's 750 largest cities. An increase in **urban sprawl** is almost inevitable, but should be managed and minimal. **Net global carbon emissions** are used as a proxy for urban emissions. **Slum dwellers** includes developing regions only.

Sources: Angel et al., 2011; Oxford Economics, 2015; UN DESA, 2014; UN Habitat, 2016; World Bank, 2017



Cities and GHG emissions: INDICATIVE 80% burden of blame

Source of GHG emissions: While currently 71% of GHG emissions; 76% of energy related GHG by 2030 will come from the cities.

50 cities with largest populations generate **2.6 billion tCO2 annually**

Within cities, **40% to 65%** of total GHG emissions are from **buildings and transport**.

By 2030, Asia, Africa, and Latin America will hold **80% of the global urban population**

90% of the **urban growth** is taking place in **developing countries**

Importance of urban economies: By 2030, **60%** of the world's population, are expected to **live in urban** areas (cities)



Status of NDCs with considerations for action in cities



Low Carbon Cities (2018)



NDCs of Vast majority of countries not in line with Paris

cities consume over 75% of natural resources, produce over 50% of global waste, and are responsible, directly and indirectly for emitting between 60-80% of greenhouse gases.





Challenges to transformative urban adaptation and resilience

- SPREAD OF CONVENTIONAL URBANIZATION MUST BE STOPPED:
 - The global population grow from **4** -**6.5 billion** live in cities and urban areas.
 - This urbanization surge will be felt in developing countries in **Asia and Africa (90%)**
 - These trends will translate into **massive** extension of the **urban infrastructure**.
- The urban transformation towards sustainability requires
 FUNDAMENTAL CHANGES in
 - land-use,
 - energy and
 - transport systems,
 - management of materials and
 - material flows,
 - urban settlement policies, and
 - resilient structural-spatial design of cities.

- THERE IS A LACK OF INTEGRATION AND LONG-TERM THINKING and Planning;
 - enabling environments vary in terms of how favorable they are to urban resilience building;
 - countries provide very different contexts and position different actors at the forefront of governance;
- There is a need for A PARADIGM SHIFT AWAY FROM INCREMENTAL APPROACHES TO INFRASTRUCTURE AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT that are essentially driven by short term requirements, towards transformative changes with a strategic, long-term urban resilience.
- Tying **urban resilience** into the complex web of **urban governance** is key but current governance trends show limitations in capturing resilience well.
- Local governments are typically in the role of service provision whereas central or regional governments have greater capacities and resources; and the links between resilience and the mandatory enforcing, provisioning, incentivizing, and enabling functions of governance are complex.

FOCUS ON SUBNATIONAL FINANCE AND DECENTRALIZED SOLUTIONS TO URBAN-RESILIENCE AND ADAPTATION



Barriers to achieve paradigm-shifting in the Urban Sector

Lack of enabling policy frameworks, integrated policy and planning systems, and institutional and technical capacities at all levels of government Lack of common standards, taxonomies and project assessment methodologies for LECR infrastructure investments to channel PIC resources into viable urban climate investments.

Limited support for fostering new business models, institutions, technologies and financing structures Limited information on best practice and performance data associated with LECR urban infrastructure, which creates barriers to replicating successful practices

Lack of upfront financing and structures to cover pre-feasibility studies and project design in order to create a pipeline of bankable LECR urban projects

Higher upfront costs and longer payback periods of LECR urban investments, increasing their perceived risk/reward profile Limited access to long-term finance at affordable rates and with appropriate repayment schedules due to shallow domestic capital markets and financing systems



Estimating the urban climate finance

OECD: **USD\$6.9 trillion** will be needed for investment in energy, transportation, and water and sanitation and telecoms over the next 15 years to be consistent with a 2°C scenario (with a 66% probability) (OECD, 2017).

World Bank: Up to **USD\$400 billion** needs to be invested on a global scale to urban resilience. Failing to respond to climate change and natural disasters will cities worldwide will be **USD\$314 billion** every year by 2030 (World Bank, 2017).

Coalition for Urban Transition: A total of **US\$5 to US\$6 trillion** is required each year **to meet** human and economic development needs over coming decades. Therefore, the annual deficit in infrastructure investment is above **US\$1 trillion a year.** (Coalition for Urban Transition, 2018)

IFC: Estimates a cumulative climate investment opportunity of USD 29.4 trillion in six urban sectors* in emerging markets cities by 2030. The bulk, USD 24.7 trillion, rests with green buildings, which covers both new construction and retrofits (IFC, 2018).

Coalition for Urban Transitions: Low-carbon cities are a **\$24 trillion opportunity**, equivalent to nearly one-third of the global GDP in 2018 (Coalition for Urban Transitions, 2019).





GCF in the Climate Finance Landscape and Potential Collaborators



Source: Adapted from Atteridge, A et al (2009)

Notes: CDM=Clean Development Mechanism, FI=Financial Institution, NDB=National Development Bank, ODA=Official Development Assistance



GCF: scaling transformational solutions and market-creation role, and as accelerator and amplifier for climate action



GCF : Investment criteria for Water Security Sector





01

03

02 Supporting developing The world's largest Set up by the countries to transition climate fund UNFCCC, and serving to low-emission, the Paris Agreement Seattor MPACTS climate-resilient societies Energy generation and access Reduced emissions from Livelihoods Buildings, of people and cities. communities industries and appliances Increased ADAPTATION STRAT resilience of Ecosystems and ecosystem services

Impact potential 1 Paradigm shift 2 Sustainable development 3 **Recipients needs** 4 Promote country ownership 5 **Efficiency & effectiveness** 6



How we drive change





What We are looking for!!!





Transformative action fields for cities

Transformative Action Fields	2030 GHG emissions reduction	2050 GHG emissions reduction
Decarbonization of energy sector: renewable energy and storage	50% to 70% renewables, saving 35% - 45% of GHG At cost \$40-\$80 per MW	Up to 90% reduction on the basis of the same trend
Improving energy efficiency in building stock	Buildings: 32% reduction in primary material consumption and associated GHG	Buildings: 53% reduction in primary material consumption and associated GHG
Mobility and transport	20% to 45% emissions reduction	Same trend
Urban form	20% emissions reduction	40% emission reduction combined with transportation strategies
Urban resilience	DRR / Optimizing value for money through resilience enablers	DRR / Optimizing value for money through resilience enablers
Materials and material flow	32% reduction in primary material consumption and associated GHG	53% reduction in primary material consumption and associated GHG
Improving waste management	20 % reduction in related GHG emission	40% reduction in related GHG emissions





Smart Living (Quality of life): housing, education, health, safety and security, lifestyle, culture and tourism, provision of basic services; Smart Environment (Sustainability): major reduction of GHG emissions, green buildings, ecosystem services in urban context (sponge cities with natural flooding resilience, water management, circular economy and waste management; Smart People (Governance): bottom up engagements, social integration, social cohesion, local human and social resources, schools and universities, green technology research centers, green focused clusters of business communities;

Smart Economy (Competitiveness): green technology and jobs, green focused SMEs, local industry and businesses; Smart Mobility (Connectivity): green transport – environmentally friendly, green solutions for public transportation, bicycle and walking pathways, hydrogen fuel, electric vehicles and busses;

Main Urban dimensions for creating a smart city

Smart Energy (Efficiency): smart grids, smart meters, fuel cells, energy storages, renewable energy, energy efficiency in lighting systems and green buildings: Smart Governance (Participation): various communication mechanisms between local government and residents, e-government, open data, data centers, transparency, community consultation mechanism

		Four drivers of paradigm-shift				
	GREEN CLIMATE FUND	Transformational planning & programming	Catalyzing climate Innovation	Mobilization of finance at scale	Expansion and replication of knowledge	
Paradigm- shift pathways (Transformat ive Action Fields)	Decarbonized & distributed Energy	 Strengthen mechanisms to implement NDCs and urban climate targets through planning and programming process Foster integrated urban, transport, energy and infrastructure development Institutional strengthening for pipelining and project development Develop and apply new technical standards and performance standards in support of the above 	 New business models that reduce upfront capital cost requirements and tap new revenue sources (ex: pay-as-you-go schemes; land value capture, etc.) Support to integrated implementation of new technologies New institutions (ESCOs, etc.) New urban development models (TOD, etc.) New legislation (producer responsibility) 	 Increased access to of cities and city institutions to domestic and international capital markets through Support to pipeline development at scale Catalyse and participate in private sector funding vehicles eg SPVs for PPPs Targeted investments in catalytic funds, city raisings and PPP structures Support to direct access AEs (especially NDBs) in subnational climate financing Mechanisms to enhance the use of blended finance, sub-sovereign finance and mechanisms to leverage the private sector to work for cities – especially in SIDS and LDCs Mobilization of national and global PIC funds through capital markets Incentivize IFIs to leverage resources and to incentivize efficiency and innovation 	 Developing knowledge products on business models through the Community of Practice for each TAF Utilise partnerships within CCFLA to upscale action on project development, PIC financing and NDBs Partner with other agencies & networks to maximize knowledge feedback / learning loops in each TAF sector Effectively disseminate knowledge through GCF knowledge repository and networking events 	
	Energy efficient buildings					
	Green & mobility-enhance d cities					
	Circular urban economy					
	Compact & resilient urban form					

HOW WE INVEST



- These can be combined into a variety of financing structures, e.g.:
 - Project-based (SPVs)
 - Direct equity/debt funds
 - Fund-of-funds
 - Structured finance vehicles
 - On-lending











ROLE OF THE GREEN CLIMATE FUND

De-risking & Concessionality

₽

Need for concessionality

- > Reducing risk in a transaction;
- > Anchoring role for **co-investors** to participate;
- > Fostering behavioral changes conducive to stronger climate impacts;

- > Creating demand by making climate solutions affordable.
- > Pricing concessionality
- > Subordinated position;
- > Flexible term & tenor

> Flexible guarantees

> Fit for purpose grants to foster future climate action

Leveraging private sector, institutional investors and DFIs funding to support green growth in Developing Countries



Selected GCF Portfolio in Cities / urban and energy efficiency sector

Title, Country and AE	Total Financing	GCF financing
ADB Ulaanbaatar Green Affordable Housing and Resilient Urban Renewal Project (AHURP) Mongolia	\$544 million	\$95 million concessional loan \$50 million grant
ADB ASEAN Catalytic Green Finance Facility (multi country: Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Thailand and the Philippines)	\$3.385 billion	\$ 280 million concessional loan \$20 million grant
World Bank Viet Nam: Scaling Up Energy Efficiency for Industrial Enterprises in Viet Nam	\$ 497 million	\$75 million guarantee facility \$11.3 mil grant
ADB Catalyzing Climate Finance (Shandong Green Development Fund) PRC	\$ 1.5 billion	\$100 million concessional loan
UNDP Scaling-up Investment in Low-Carbon Public Buildings, Bosnia-Herzegovina	\$122 million	\$17.3 million grant
EBRD Green Cities Facility (multi-country: Albania, Armenia, Georgia, Jordan, Moldova, Mongolia, North Macedonia, Serbia, Tunisia)	Euro 6oo million	Euro 65 million concessional loan; Euro 22 million grant





Project development process for climate financing

Step 1: Climate driver Establishing the climate case Understanding the earth climate system and its drivers Step 2: Hazard Understanding how climate services are generated and applied for adaptation planning Step 3: Impacts, exposure, vulnerability and risks Understanding/identifying climate impacts, exposure, vulnerability and risks. Understanding how risks are 2a) derived from hazard, exposure and vulnerability Step 4: Problem identification and analysis Defining core problem based on climate rationale as a starting point for project design interventions Step 5: Transformation of problem to project objectives Reversing negative statements from the problem analysis into projects objectives and desired effects ר) Step 6: Creation of theory of change Creating theory of change tree to lay out a detailed eveloping strategy to achieve expected results Step 7: Development of Logical Framework from theory of change Translating the theory of change tree into projects' goals, Õ outcomes, outputs and activities Step 8: Concept note development Understanding how a proposed design fit into GCF Project idea/concept





Take Home Messages- GCF & City Finance

GCF Urban Action Areas



Compact, connected, and coordinated cities could deliver up to 3.7 GtCO2e/year of savings over the next 15 years and reduce infrastructure capital requirements by over US\$3 trillion

- 1. GCF can offer financing opportunities for urban projects which can **de-risk investments and attract private investors.**
- 2. GCF can support a range of finance mechanisms that will leverage institutional change and linkages .



Dr Amgad Elmahdi Water Sector Lead Chair of Water Security Bureau-IWRA



Aelmahdi<u>@gcfund.org</u>



https://www.greenclimate.fund/



@Dr_AmgadElmahdi